

Appendix 2G: Evaluation report for PhD proposals

Swiss TPH Research commission (RC) – version March 2015

PhD project (title)	
PhD candidate	
Supervisor	
Reviewer	
Date of first Review	
PhD proposal is part of a larger, peer-reviewed grant application (please specify)	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes No
PhD student is enrolled in a PhD Programme (please specify)	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes No

No	Criteria	Reviewers comments (1 st review)	Reviewers comments sufficiently addressed in revised version
1	The research project is concisely presented		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes No
2	Scientific interest		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes No
3	Scientific novelty		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes No
4	Methodology		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes No
5	Feasibility and time plan		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes No
6	Public health relevance		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes No
7	The proposed research is consistent with key areas of Swiss TPH activities		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes No

8	Ethical considerations fully addressed		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes No
9	Internal collaborations (roles and responsibilities clearly defined)		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes No
10	External collaborations (roles and responsibilities clearly defined)		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes No
11	Skill development		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes No
12	PhD committee (roles and responsibilities clearly defined)		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes No
13	Lectures, courses, etc. included and adequate (≥ 12 CPs; ≥ 18 CPs if part of a PhD programme)		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes No
14	Budget plan included and complete		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes No
15	Additional comments		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes No
16	Reviewer's recommendation: revised proposal <u>approved (1)</u> / <u>minor revisions (2)</u> / <u>major revisions (3)</u> ¹		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> 1 2 3

¹ If the proposal needs major revisions after the Research Commission meeting, it has to be resubmitted to RC for evaluation